



STATE OF CALIFORNIA
EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Governor

MARYBEL BATJER
Secretary
Government Operations Agency
Chairperson

JOHN CHIANG
State Controller
Board Member

MICHAEL A. RAMOS
San Bernardino County District Attorney
Board Member

JULIE NAUMAN
Executive Officer

The California Victim Compensation Program Baseline Data Report: A Comparison of 2010 Crime Rates and Victim Compensation Awards



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Innovation • Partnerships • Safer Neighborhoods

Acknowledgements

This Baseline Data Report is made possible by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice's Crime Victim Compensation Program Initiative under Grant Award 2013-VF-GX-K012.

This report was primarily authored by Robin Foemmel Bie, LCSW, CalVCP Resources Branch Manager. Support and direction provided by Mindy Fox, Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board Deputy Executive Officer for California Victim Compensation Program (CalVCP); and Christie Munson, CalVCP Processing Branch Manager.

Special thanks to Dr. Barry Krisberg and the Chief Justice Earl Warren Institute on Law and Public Policy at the University of California, Berkeley.

The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this report are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

Table of Contents

A: Introduction	4
Figure A: Total Compensation Paid to Direct and Derivative Victims by Crime Type.....	5
Figure B: Median Compensation Paid to Direct and Derivative Victims by Crime Type.....	7
Figure C: Insurance Status and Benefits Type Paid to Direct & Derivative Victims	10
Figure D: Insurance Status and Benefits Paid to Direct and Derivative Victims	10
Figure E: Average Time Taken to File an Application for Compensation.....	11
B. The Data	12
1. Characteristics of Claimants: Age and Gender.....	12
Figure F: Age Profiles of NCVS Victims vs. Direct Victim Claimants.....	12
Figure G: Age Profile of Direct Victim Claimants	13
Figure H: Compensation Paid by Age to Direct Victims	14
2. Characteristics of Claimants: Race/Ethnicity	14
Figures I & J: Ethnic Composition of the California Population, Victim Population and Direct Victim Claimants.....	16
Figure K: The Median Compensation Received by Direct and Derivative Claimants of Different Ethnicities.....	17
3. Characteristics of Types of Crime	18
4. Types of Benefits Paid to Victims.....	19
Figure O: Benefits to Direct Victims	20
5. Other Characteristics of Claimants	20
6. Characteristics of Claim Processing	21
Figure S & T: Eligibility Claim Processing Time by Crime	21
C. Key Findings	22
Appendix	24
Figure L1: Total paid for a range of benefits by insurance status and ethnicity in millions*	25
Figure L2: Total paid for a range of benefits by insurance status and crime category in millions* ...	27
Figure M: Direct and derivative claimants by crime category and county using CalVCP data classifications (7 pages).....	29
Figure N: Comparison of CalCVP claimant rate to crime rate per 100,000 population (3 pages)	37
Figure P: Data by county, representation, insurance coverage and other variables (3 pages)	40
Figure Q: Total claimants most dependent on CalVCP compensation services (4 pages).....	46
Figure R: Compensation Paid and Claims Received by County (3 pages)	50

A: Introduction

Providing services to crime victims in the culturally and geographically diverse California environment can be an enormous challenge. To help meet this challenge, the Victim Compensation Government Claims Board (VCGCB) sought and obtained a federal grant, awarded by the Office for Victims of Crime in the Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs,¹ to identify underserved victims of crime in California, determine their unmet needs and gaps in services available to them, and implement program improvements to address those gaps. As part of the project, the California Victim Compensation Program (CalVCP)² prepared this baseline data report to analyse victim compensation usage in California and compare that usage with available crime and census data.

The data used for this baseline report is drawn from the year 2010. Calendar year 2010 was chosen because, in addition to data about victim compensation utilization, both crime data and census data were available for this year.³ The data included in this report covers all compensation claimants who submitted applications related to crimes that occurred in 2010.⁴ CalVCP received 52,886 applications from claimants who were either direct or derivative⁵ victims of crime in 2010. Direct victims are individuals who suffered injury or threat of injury as a result of crime. Derivative victims refer to individuals who sustained pecuniary loss as a result of injury or death to a direct victim, generally a close family member, household member or former household member. Claimants who were direct or derivative victims of assault and child abuse accounted for a large percentage of the total claimants, 44% and 21% respectively. Homicide claimants accounted for 10% of applications and sexual assault claimants accounted

¹ Grant Award 2013-VF-GX-K012, awarded by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

² The Chief Justice Earl Warren Institute on Law and Social Policy of the University of California Berkeley School of Law was contracted to assist with the preparation of this report, with substantial input provided by Barry Krisberg, Warren Institute Senior Fellow and Kandaswamy Paramasivan, Advanced Policy Analysis Consultant, Goldman School of Public Policy, UC Berkeley.

³ The most recent comprehensive United States Census data available is 2010.


⁴ Applications received during the three year period beginning January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2013 were included in this study if the crime occurred during the 2010 calendar year.

⁵ Defined in California Government Code §13951 (c).

for 9% of all claimants. Other crimes included robbery (5%), vehicular manslaughter or robbery⁶ (2%), DWI/DUI (driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs) (2%), and kidnapping (0.1%).


CalVCP paid \$69.2 million in compensation to 20,075 direct and derivative victims. Of the 52,886 applications submitted for crimes that occurred in 2010, 43,555 claimants were determined to be eligible to receive compensation. 20,075 of those eligible direct and derivative claimants (46%) actually received some type of compensation for losses covered by the program. Fifty-one percent of claimants with eligible applications never submit bills to CalVCP, or their expenses are reimbursed by another source. A major portion of the compensation paid by CalVCP was made to direct and derivative victims of assault – \$34.4 million. Victims of homicide received compensation totalling \$13.8 million. Other major categories of victims receiving compensation include victims of: child abuse (\$7.8 million), robbery (\$4.4 million), vehicular manslaughter or robbery (\$2.4 million) and DWI/DUI (\$1.5 million).

Figure A1: Total Compensation Paid to Direct Victims by Crime Type



⁶ Vehicular manslaughter refers to a death involving operation of a motor vehicle and vehicular robbery refers to carjacking, not to be confused with the theft of an automobile when a victim is not present, a crime not covered by the compensation program.

Figure A2: Total Compensation Paid to Derivative Victims by Crime Type



While the amount of the mean compensation varied across crime categories and between direct and derivative victims, the median compensation for any claimant who received at least one payment was \$1,853. The median compensation ranged from a minimum of \$1,473 for victims of sexual assault to a maximum of \$5,436 for victims of homicide. Victims of DWI/DUI received a median compensation of \$2,268.

Figure B1: Median Compensation Paid to Direct Victims by Crime Type





Figure B2: Median Compensation Paid to Derivative Victims by Crime Type



The average rate for receiving compensation for all eligible claimants, as previously mentioned, was 46%. The rate of compensation was lowest among the victims of vehicular manslaughter or robbery at 17%; whereas the rate for arson victims was the highest at 67%. The rate for homicide victims was second highest at 55%.

Out of the 52,886 total claimants, 38,145 (72%) were represented by an advocate from a local victim-witness assistance center. A total of 12,782 (24%) claimants submitted applications without representation, and 1,959 (4%) claimants had their applications represented by attorneys.

Among direct and derivative claimants who were represented by victim advocates, 42.6% were victims of assault, 20.9% were victims of child abuse, and 11.7% were survivors of homicide victims.

Forty percent of the claimants were Hispanic, 22% were White, 13% were African American, 3% were Asian or Pacific Islander, and 1% were Native American. Young adults, under age 40,

accounted for 77% of the total claimants and 75% of those who received compensation. The average age of the claimants was 26.4. Two percent of the claimants were age 62 or older.

Victim advocates and law enforcement were one of the key referral sources for direct victims (9,719 claimants (24%) and 8,431 claimants (21%) respectively). Other referral sources included district attorneys (4,212 claimants, 10%)⁷, child protective services (2,954 claimants, 7%), and medical providers (2,510 claimants, 6%).

The insurance status of claimants had the largest impact on the reimbursement of medical and funeral and burial benefits. As Figures C and D demonstrate, claimants who did not have insurance received 63% more in medical benefits and 83% more in funeral and burial benefits. Insurance status had little impact on the remaining benefit types.

Figure E demonstrates that not all victims filed applications immediately after the crime. The median time taken by a direct victim to file an application from the date of the qualifying crime was 67 days. Derivative victims filed applications an average of 73 days after the date of the crime.


The median time taken to file an application after the date of the crime was longest for claimants represented by an attorney (123 days) as opposed to claimants assisted by victim advocates (64 days) or a family member (31 days). For all crime types, the median time taken to file an application was 69 days.

⁷ Given the percentage of victim-witness assistance centers state-wide located within, or affiliated with, a District Attorney's Office, it is unclear when and how the claimants were distinguishing between these two referral sources.

Figure C: Insurance Status and Benefits Type Paid to Direct & Derivative Victims


Insurance Status	Dental	Funeral Burial	Income Support Loss	Mental Health	Rehabilitation	Relocation	Medical	Total ⁸
No Insurance	\$0.651M	\$8.185M	\$3.102M	\$9.501M	\$0.055M	\$1.775M	\$18.927M	\$42.195M
Identified	\$0.608M	\$1.364M	\$2.845M	\$9.424M	\$0.071M	\$1.648M	\$5.016M	\$20.976M
Insurance Info. Incomplete	\$0.127M	\$0.727M	\$0.833M	\$1.824M	\$0.018M	\$0.487M	\$1.338M	\$5.354M
Grand Total	\$1.386M	\$10.276M	\$6.781M	\$20.748M	\$0.145M	\$3.910M	\$25.280M	\$68.526M

Figure D: Insurance Status and Benefits Paid to Direct and Derivative Victims



⁸Claimants whose applications did not state any insurance information were not included in totals.

Figure E: Median Time Taken to File an Application for Compensation




B. The Data

1. Characteristics of Claimants: Age and Gender

The age profile of direct victims who applied for compensation and the age profile of the victim population⁹ in California differed among certain age groups, as illustrated in Figure F below. The majority of direct victims who sought compensation were between the ages of 25 and 49. 35 to 49-year-olds were underrepresented by 6% when compared to the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS). Additionally, the 50 to 64-year-old groups and 65+ were underrepresented by 15%.

Figure F: Age Profiles of NCVS Victims vs. Direct Victim Claimants




Crime statistics show that younger people are more likely to be victims of crime, and CalVCP data is consistent with crime data, showing that younger victims apply for compensation more often than older victims. Recent US figures estimate persons age 25 and older had lower rates

⁹ NCVS collects information on nonfatal crimes reported and not reported to the police for persons age 12 or older (children below age 12 are not included) from a nationally representative sample of U.S. households, whereas the CalVCP pool of claimants includes children under 12 years old and homicide victims. This comparison was made after removing the children who were under 12 years of age from CalVCP data.

of violent victimization than those under the age of 25. The rate of victimization for those 18 to 24 was 49 per 1,000, whereas the rate for those 25 and above was 27 per 1,000.¹⁰


According to 2010 Census data, the population of the State of California is 49.7% male, whereas direct victim male CalVCP applicants accounted for 40% of the total (16,187 out of 40,689). According to the results of the NCVS (NCVS), the percentage of male victims was 51.5%. Compared with the general population and victim population, males were underrepresented as applicants to CalVCP.

Figure G: Age Profile of Direct Victim Claimants



¹⁰ Truman, J. L. & M. Planty. Criminal Victimization, 2011, Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin. Washington, D.C.: Office of Justice Programs (2012).

Figure H: Compensation Paid by Age to Direct Victims



2. Characteristics of Claimants: Race/Ethnicity

In the 2010 NCVS,¹¹ for the country as a whole, Whites made up 62% of crime victims compared with 15% for Hispanics, 17% for African Americans, 2% for Native Americans, and 2% for Asian or Pacific Islanders.¹² As per the 2010 United States Census,¹³ Whites amounted to 63.7% of the population, Hispanics represented 16.3%, African Americans were 12.6%, Asians accounted for 4.8% and Native Americans were 1.2% of the entire population. These nationwide statistics may not be directly applicable to California, but provide a source of comparison in the absence of data on specific victim characteristics data broken down at the state level (as detailed below).

¹¹ Table-9, Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Crime Victimization Survey, NCJ 235508 Sept 2011 Bulletin prepared by Jennifer L Truman.

¹² The NCVS data affirms that African Americans are disproportionately victimized at higher rates than non-African Americans. Truman & Planty (2012).

¹³ Overview of Race and Hispanic Origin, 2010 Census Briefs, Issued in March 2011 by Karen R. Humes, Nicholas A. Jones, and Roberto R. Ramirez.

The population of the State of California in 2010¹⁴ was 40.2% White, 37.6% Hispanic, 5.8% African American, 13.2% Asian/Pacific Islander and 0.4% American Indian. The distribution of CalVCP compensation across these ethnic/racial communities differed from these figures. In terms of number of direct victim claimants for victim compensation, 27% were White, 49% Hispanic, 16% African American, 4% Asian/Pacific Islander, and 1% Native American. Figure J below shows the ethnic breakdown of the general population of the State of California, the victim population (as taken from the NCVS) and the claimants to CalVCP.


¹⁴ 2010 Census Summary File 1, Table PCT12 by Race/Ethnicity, California and Counties.

Figures I & J: Ethnic Composition of the United States Population, California Population, NCVS Victim Population and Direct Victim Claimants¹⁵

Figure I

Race/Ethnicity	Percentage of Population:			
	United States Population	Victim Population (NCVS)	California Population	CalVCP Claimants ¹⁶
White	63.7	61.9	40.2	27.4
African American	12.6	16.5	5.8	15.9
Hispanic	16.3	14.6	37.6	49.4
Native American	1.2	1.5	0.8	1.1
Asian or Pacific Islander	4.8	2.0	12.8	3.7

Figure J



¹⁵ This ethnicity data is countrywide based on the National Crime Victimization Survey for the year 2010. This data is approximated to the State of California in the above table.


¹⁶ Percentages of CalVCP claimants are based on the number of claimants for whom a race was specified.

With regard to the disbursement of \$62.3 million in compensation to direct victims across racial and ethnic categories, Whites received \$13.1 million (23%), Hispanics received \$25.9 million (39%), African Americans received \$10 million (13%), Asian Pacific Islanders received \$2.4 million (3%), and Native Americans received \$308,750 (1%).

See Figures L 1-2 included in the attached appendix. The figures include the benefits paid and insurance status by ethnicity and crime type.

Among the claims that resulted in compensation, the median compensation received by direct and derivative victim claimants was \$1,853. However, differences in the mean compensation received by direct and derivative victim claimants across racial and ethnic categories can be seen in Figure K below. While the median compensation received by an African American claimant was \$2,000, a Hispanic claimant received \$1,900, an Asian/Pacific Islander claimant received \$1,770, a White claimant received \$1,565 and a Native American claimant received \$1,244.

Figure K: The Median Compensation Received by Direct and Derivative Claimants of Different Ethnicities



There was also variation among racial/ethnic groups in the time taken to determine eligibility. The median number of days was 55 days for Hispanics, 53 days for African Americans, 48 days for Whites, 46 days for Asian or Pacific Islanders, and 40 days for Native Americans.

3. Characteristics of Types of Crime

The California Department of Justice reports and publishes crime data according to two categories: “Violent Crimes” and “Property Crimes.”¹⁷ Violent crimes include homicide, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. Property crimes include burglary, motor vehicle theft, and larceny (over \$400).¹⁸ The latter category is outside the purview of CalVCP when cases involve no threat of injury or death to the victim.

163,957 cases of violent crime, 981,523 cases of property crime, and 228,535 cases of child abuse were reported in 2010. Figures M and N in the Appendix show the number of claimants for victim compensation and the number of reported crime victims by various categories of crime. These comparisons offer insights into both the number of victims resulting from these crimes and the number of victims who filed applications for compensation.

During 2010¹⁹, 28,515 direct victims of the 163,957 reported violent crimes filed applications for compensation with CalVCP, amounting to 17.5% of reported violent crime. There were 8,325 reported cases of forcible rape in 2010 and 2,920 direct victims of forcible rape (35%) filed claims with CalVCP. There were 95,723 reported cases of aggravated assault, and 21,697 claimants who were direct victims of aggravated assault (23%) submitted applications for victim compensation. There were 58,100 reported cases of robberies in 2010 and 2,368 robbery victims (4%) filed applications for compensation.

There were 1,809 reported homicides in 2010 and CalVCP received 1,530 applications on behalf of survivors of deceased victims of homicide, representing 85% of the reported homicides. In

¹⁷ Crime in California 2010- Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General, California Department of Justice.

¹⁸ The crime of child abuse was not reported in the “Crime in California-2010” document published by the Office of the Attorney General, but it is included reporting by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children’s Bureau (2011).

¹⁹ See also *Child Maltreatment 2010*.

Available from http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/cb/stats_research/index.htm#can

2010, there were 4,915 felony arrests of persons driving under the influence (DUI).²⁰ While not all felony DUI arrests involve victims, 825 direct victims of DUI applied for compensation.

The analysis of the reported crime and CalVCP data reveals that while there is high representation of the victims of homicide, other crimes may be under-represented.

See Figure M in the Appendix for a depiction of direct and derivative victims by crime category and county using CalVCP's crime categorization.

See Figure N in the Appendix for a comparison of direct victim claimants to the California crime data for 2010.


4. Types of Benefits Paid to Victims

CalVCP distributed a total of \$69.2 million to direct and derivative victim claimants of 2010 crimes. \$25.5 million (37%) was paid for medical expenses and \$20.9 million (30%) covered mental health treatment costs. In other categories, \$10.5 million (15%) paid funeral or burial costs for deceased victims, \$6.86 million (10%) was paid for income or support loss payments, \$3.95 million (6%) covered relocation costs, \$1.39 million (2%) was paid toward dental expenses and \$148,605 (.2%) went to rehabilitation costs.

Direct victims were awarded a total of \$62.2 million of the total \$69.2 in compensation for various benefits. Medical expenses and mental health treatment form the largest shares — \$25.5 million and \$16.2 million respectively. The other benefit categories paid to, or on behalf of, direct victims were: funeral/burial (\$10.5 million), income loss (\$4.75 million), relocation (\$3.83 million) and dental (\$1.39 million). CalVCP paid a total of \$6.9 million to derivative victims, including: mental health treatment (\$4.65 million), relocation (\$0.12 million), and support loss (\$2.11 million).

²⁰ Table 23 and Table 27, Crime in California 2010, State Attorney General, California Department of Justice available at ag.ca.gov/cjsc/publications/candd/cd10/preface.pdf

Figure O: Benefits to Direct Victims



The median reimbursements for medical expenses received by eligible direct victims²¹ were as follows: Vehicular Manslaughter or Robbery (\$1,488), DWI/DUI (\$1,191), Assault (\$1,062), Robbery (\$927), Kidnapping (\$632), and Sexual Assault (\$272).

The median reimbursements for mental health treatment by eligible direct victims were: child abuse (\$1,985), assault (\$1,595), sexual assault (\$1,539), robbery (\$1,386), kidnapping (\$1,314), DWI/DUI (\$1,056), and vehicular manslaughter or robbery (\$510). For eligible derivative victims, the median mental health reimbursements were: kidnapping (\$1,436), child abuse (\$1,350), robbery (\$1,350), homicide (\$1,136), sexual assault (\$1,080), assault (\$1,110), DWI/DUI (\$1,007), and vehicular manslaughter and robbery (\$630).

5. Other Characteristics of Claimants

Figure Q shows the number and percentage of claimants likely to be considered more dependent on assistance from victim compensation. The table includes minor survivors, the elderly, and disabled claimants. There are 3,465 claimants in these categories accounting for

²¹ These averages are only for eligible victims who received some type of compensation payments.

6.6% of total claimants. Over 10% of the claimants in Contra Costa (10.6%), Imperial (15.8%), Plumas (18.2%), Sacramento (10.1%), and Trinity (10.7%) counties are in these categories. For a detailed depiction by county of the claims received and the compensation paid out, see Figure R in the Appendix.

6. Characteristics of Claim Processing

The mean time taken to determine whether the claimant was eligible from the date an application was filed was 69 days, and the median time taken was 50 days. The shortest time frame for determining eligibility was for homicide victim applications, with a median time of 32 days, and the longest time to determine eligibility was for claimants who were direct victims of arson, with a median time of 76 days.


Figure S & T: Eligibility Claim Processing Time by Crime

Figure S

Crime Category	Median Time Taken to determine Eligibility		
	Direct (in days)	Derivative (in days)	Combined (in days)
Arson	76	76	76
Assault	53	49	52
Child Abuse	55	55	55
DWI/DUI	60	62	60
Homicide	14	45	32
Kidnapping	41	47	42
Vehicular Manslaughter or Robbery	65	44	63
Robbery	54	49	54
Sexual Assault	52	48	50
Stalking	38	44	40
Terrorism	75	N/A ²²	75
Total	50	49	50

²² No derivative victim claimants submitted an application for Terrorism during the crime year of 2010.

Figure T



C. Key Findings

On the basis of the analysis of the data from 2010 crime victims, it is clear that CalVCP assists victims of different types of crimes, from various counties, and consisting of diverse ethnicities and ages and both genders. Some key findings from this analysis are:

- CalVCP received 52,886 applications for crimes occurring in 2010 and provided compensation to 46% of the 43,555 eligible claimants in the amount of \$69.2 million.
- Of the 163,957 violent crimes reported in the State of California in 2010, 29,236 direct victims of those reported crimes filed applications for compensation with CalVCP amounting to 17.5% of reported violent crimes.
 - Of 1,809 reported cases of homicide, 1,530 survivors of deceased victims filed claims with CalVCP (85%).
 - Of 8,325 reported cases of forcible rape, 2,920 direct victims filed claims with CalVCP (35%).

- Of 95,723 reported cases of aggravated assault, 21,697 direct victims filed claims with CalVCP (23%).
- Of 58,100 reported cases of robbery, 2,368 direct victims filed claims with CalVCP (4%).
- CalVCP received the most claims from Hispanics followed by Whites and African Americans. The fewest claims were received from Native Americans and Asian or Pacific Islanders.
- CalVCP direct victim applicants were 40% male and 60% female.
- The median compensation paid per claimant was \$1,853.
- Survivors of homicide victims and direct victims of assault received a large share of compensation compared to other crimes.
- Young adults (below age 40) accounted for a large portion of total claimants and represented 75% of those provided compensation.
- Of the \$69.2 million that CalVCP distributed to direct and derivative claimants, \$25.5 million (37%) was for claimants' medical expenses, and \$20.9 million (30%) went to mental health costs.
- The median claim processing time to determine eligibility was 50 days.

Appendix

Figure L1: Total paid for a range of benefits by insurance status and ethnicity in millions²³

Claimant Ethnicity	Funeral Burial		Income Support Loss		Relocation		Rehabilitation	
	No Insurance	Insurance Identified	No Insurance	Insurance Identified	No Insurance	Insurance Identified	No Insurance	Insurance Identified
African American	\$2.454	\$0.274	\$0.628	\$0.642	\$0.473	\$0.395	\$0.006	\$0.003
Asian Indian	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Asian or Pacific Islander	\$0.238	\$0.084	\$0.354	\$0.139	\$0.060	\$0.048	\$0.002	\$0.004
White	\$0.697	\$0.261	\$0.398	\$0.940	\$0.286	\$0.421	\$0.022	\$0.034
Hispanic	\$3.705	\$0.400	\$1.004	\$0.676	\$0.694	\$0.439	\$0.010	\$0.011
Native American	\$0.002	\$0.006	\$0.000	\$0.004	\$0.008	\$0.017	\$0.000	\$0.001
Not Yet Determined	\$0.053	\$0.001	\$0.011	\$0.002	\$-	\$0.010	\$0.001	\$-
Other (Specify)	\$0.117	\$0.018	\$0.107	\$0.023	\$0.025	\$0.049	\$0.005	\$0.002
Grand Total	\$8.185	\$1.364	\$3.102	\$2.845	\$1.775	\$1.648	\$0.055	\$0.071

²³ Only eligible direct and derivative claimants were included. Claimants whose insurance information was incomplete or unmarked are NOT included.

Claimant Ethnicity	Dental		Medical		Mental Health	
	No Insurance	Insurance Identified	No Insurance	Insurance Identified	No Insurance	Insurance Identified
African American	\$0.152	\$0.085	\$3.037	\$0.590	\$0.555	\$0.610
Asian Indian	\$-	\$-	\$0.002	\$-	\$-	\$0.004
Asian or Pacific Islander	\$0.020	\$0.018	\$0.828	\$0.353	\$0.182	\$0.178
White	\$0.161	\$0.292	\$3.623	\$1.688	\$1.520	\$2.294
Hispanic	\$0.186	\$0.117	\$8.163	\$1.577	\$5.389	\$4.461
Native American	\$0.002	\$0.005	\$0.123	\$0.018	\$0.040	\$0.075
Not Yet Determined	\$0.009	\$0.000	\$0.085	\$0.010	\$0.040	\$0.023
Other (Specify)	\$0.012	\$0.004	\$0.545	\$0.184	\$0.113	\$0.160
Grand Total	\$0.651	\$0.608	\$18.927	\$5.016	\$9.501	\$9.424

Figure L2: Total paid for a range of benefits by insurance status and crime category in millions²⁴

Crime Category	Dental		Medical		Mental Health		Funeral Burial		Relocation	
	No Insurance	Identified	No Insurance	Identified	No Insurance	Identified	No Insurance	Identified	No Insurance	Identified
Arson	\$-	\$-	\$0.000	\$-	\$0.017	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$0.004	\$0.002
Assault	\$0.463	\$0.460	\$15.143	\$3.211	\$4.056	\$3.591	\$0.062	\$0.003	\$1.035	\$0.995
Child Abuse	\$0.002	\$0.000	\$0.018	\$0.039	\$2.578	\$3.874	\$0.024	\$0.021	\$0.145	\$0.175
DWI/DUI	\$0.002	\$0.007	\$0.357	\$0.478	\$0.019	\$0.025	\$0.058	\$0.059	\$0.002	\$-
Homicide	\$0.035	\$0.001	\$0.398	\$0.108	\$0.829	\$0.339	\$7.843	\$1.197	\$0.116	\$0.030
Kidnapping	\$0.003	\$-	\$0.072	\$0.011	\$0.118	\$0.056	\$-	\$0.006	\$0.024	\$0.014
Unspecified	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$0.000	\$-	\$0.000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Not Yet Determined	\$-	\$-	\$0.001	\$-	\$-	\$0.004	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Other	\$0.000	\$0.003	\$0.028	\$0.072	\$0.339	\$0.355	\$0.006	\$0.009	\$0.140	\$0.157
Veicular Manslaughter or Robbery	\$0.016	\$0.027	\$0.634	\$0.660	\$0.022	\$0.034	\$0.191	\$0.061	\$0.007	\$0.019
Robbery	\$0.129	\$0.092	\$2.184	\$0.379	\$0.445	\$0.227	\$-	\$0.008	\$0.116	\$0.099
Sexual Assault	\$0.000	\$0.017	\$0.0392	\$0.059	\$1.052	\$0.871	\$-	\$-	\$0.159	\$0.139
Stalking	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$0.025	\$0.047	\$-	\$-	\$0.026	\$0.019
Terrorism										
Total	\$0.651	\$0.608	\$18.927	\$5.016	\$9.501	\$9.424	\$8.185	\$1.364	\$1.775	\$1.648

²⁴ Only eligible direct and derivative claimants were included. Claimants whose insurance information was incomplete or unmarked are NOT included.

Crime Category	Income Support Loss		Rehabilitation		Relocation	
	No Insurance	Identified	No Insurance	Identified	No Insurance	Identified
Arson	\$-	\$0.000	\$0.004	\$0.002	\$-	\$-
Assault	\$1.173	\$1.698	\$1.035	\$0.995	\$0.016	\$0.032
Child Abuse	\$-	\$0.000	\$0.145	\$0.175	\$0.001	\$0.005
DWI/DUI	\$0.007	\$0.130	\$0.002	\$-	\$-	\$-
Homicide	\$1.641	\$0.207	\$0.116	\$0.030	\$0.021	\$0.002
Kidnapping	\$0.013	\$0.002	\$0.024	\$0.014	\$0.001	\$-
Unspecified	\$-	\$0.000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$0.001
Not Yet Determined	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Other	\$0.004	\$0.154	\$0.140	\$0.157	\$0.002	\$0.012
Vehicular Manslaughter or Robbery	\$0.137	\$0.338	\$0.007	\$0.019	\$-	\$-
Robbery	\$0.122	\$0.216	\$0.116	\$0.099	\$0.012	\$0.009
Sexual Assault	\$0.005	\$0.100	\$0.159	\$0.139	\$0.001	\$0.004
Stalking	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.026	\$0.019	\$0.001	\$0.007
Terrorism						
Total	\$3.102	\$2.845	\$1.775	\$1.648	\$0.055	\$0.071

Figure M: Direct and derivative claimants by crime category and county using CalVCP data classifications (7 pages)

County	Assault			Child Abuse			Sexual Assault			DWI/DUI		
	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County
ALAMEDA	1,459	42.87%	6.21%	491	14.43%	4.51%	212	6.23%	4.57%	41	1.20%	4.15%
ALPINE	3	60.00%	0.01%	2	40.00%	0.02%		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%
AMADOR	28	52.83%	0.12%	17	32.08%	0.16%	6	11.32%	0.13%		0.00%	0.00%
BUTTE	263	40.52%	1.12%	238	36.67%	2.19%	46	7.09%	0.99%	5	0.77%	0.51%
CALAVERAS	22	28.95%	0.09%	26	34.21%	0.24%	10	13.16%	0.22%	4	5.26%	0.40%
COLUSA	17	56.67%	0.07%	6	20.00%	0.06%	5	16.67%	0.11%		0.00%	0.00%
CONTRA COSTA	478	42.60%	2.03%	218	19.43%	2.00%	80	7.13%	1.73%	6	0.53%	0.61%
DEL NORTE	21	28.00%	0.09%	20	26.67%	0.18%	16	21.33%	0.35%		0.00%	0.00%
EL DORADO	132	50.38%	0.56%	48	18.32%	0.44%	21	8.02%	0.45%	4	1.53%	0.40%
FRESNO	491	47.21%	2.09%	206	19.81%	1.89%	56	5.38%	1.21%	14	1.35%	1.42%
GLENN	89	43.20%	0.38%	77	37.38%	0.71%	13	6.31%	0.28%		0.00%	0.00%
HUMBOLDT	150	47.62%	0.64%	92	29.21%	0.85%	20	6.35%	0.43%	5	1.59%	0.51%
IMPERIAL	52	43.33%	0.22%	15	12.50%	0.14%	5	4.17%	0.11%	2	1.67%	0.20%
INYO	27	65.85%	0.11%	5	12.20%	0.05%	1	2.44%	0.02%	2	4.88%	0.20%
KERN	438	41.95%	1.86%	188	18.01%	1.73%	97	9.29%	2.09%	30	2.87%	3.03%
KINGS	104	30.23%	0.44%	68	19.77%	0.62%	85	24.71%	1.83%	4	1.16%	0.40%
LAKE	111	47.84%	0.47%	67	28.88%	0.62%	16	6.90%	0.35%	6	2.59%	0.61%
LASSEN	14	40.00%	0.06%	11	31.43%	0.10%	6	17.14%	0.13%		0.00%	0.00%

County	Assault			Child Abuse			Sexual Assault			DWI/DUI		
	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County
LOS ANGELES	5,740	45.36%	24.43%	2,435	19.24%	22.38%	981	7.75%	21.16%	161	1.27%	16.28%
MADERA	170	37.04%	0.72%	84	18.30%	0.77%	100	21.79%	2.16%	9	1.96%	0.91%
MARIN	165	56.31%	0.70%	43	14.68%	0.40%	23	7.85%	0.50%	5	1.71%	0.51%
MARIPOSA	6	54.55%	0.03%		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%
MENDOCINO	63	56.25%	0.27%	13	11.61%	0.12%	15	13.39%	0.32%	2	1.79%	0.20%
MERCED	224	33.48%	0.95%	103	15.40%	0.95%	91	13.60%	1.96%	14	2.09%	1.42%
MODOC	7	38.89%	0.03%	7	38.89%	0.06%	1	5.56%	0.02%		0.00%	0.00%
MONO	5	71.43%	0.02%		0.00%	0.00%	1	14.29%	0.02%		0.00%	0.00%
MONTEREY	257	36.04%	1.09%	70	9.82%	0.64%	126	17.67%	2.72%	12	1.68%	1.21%
NAPA	126	39.75%	0.54%	76	23.97%	0.70%	63	19.87%	1.36%	9	2.84%	0.91%
NEVADA	17	22.67%	0.07%	24	32.00%	0.22%	10	13.33%	0.22%	3	4.00%	0.30%
ORANGE	1,033	45.17%	4.40%	547	23.92%	5.03%	217	9.49%	4.68%	79	3.45%	7.99%
PLACER	251	41.01%	1.07%	211	34.48%	1.94%	68	11.11%	1.47%	13	2.12%	1.31%
PLUMAS	4	36.36%	0.02%	5	45.45%	0.05%		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%
RIVERSIDE	915	44.20%	3.89%	347	16.76%	3.19%	180	8.70%	3.88%	94	4.54%	9.50%
SACRAMENTO	710	38.63%	3.02%	207	11.26%	1.90%	304	16.54%	6.56%	39	2.12%	3.94%
SAN BENITO	70	70.71%	0.30%	15	15.15%	0.14%	7	7.07%	0.15%		0.00%	0.00%
SAN BERNARDINO	1,031	33.52%	4.39%	983	31.96%	9.03%	332	10.79%	7.16%	94	3.06%	9.50%
SAN DIEGO	1,415	49.74%	6.02%	622	21.86%	5.72%	198	6.96%	4.27%	60	2.11%	6.07%
SAN	915	51.38%	3.89%	93	5.22%	0.85%	99	5.56%	2.14%	18	1.01%	1.82%

County	Assault			Child Abuse			Sexual Assault			DWI/DUI		
	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County
FRANCISCO												
SAN JOAQUIN	1,003	46.44%	4.27%	383	17.73%	3.52%	201	9.31%	4.34%	22	1.02%	2.22%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	300	49.18%	1.28%	152	24.92%	1.40%	51	8.36%	1.10%	10	1.64%	1.01%
SAN MATEO	388	48.50%	1.65%	173	21.63%	1.59%	55	6.88%	1.19%	7	0.88%	0.71%
SANTA BARBARA	447	46.90%	1.90%	252	26.44%	2.32%	69	7.24%	1.49%	27	2.83%	2.73%
SANTA CLARA	1,470	51.51%	6.26%	810	28.38%	7.44%	163	5.71%	3.52%	33	1.16%	3.34%
SANTA CRUZ	276	45.39%	1.17%	73	12.01%	0.67%	86	14.14%	1.86%	9	1.48%	0.91%
SHASTA	491	49.45%	2.09%	229	23.06%	2.10%	36	3.63%	0.78%	23	2.32%	2.33%
SIERRA	5	38.46%	0.02%	4	30.77%	0.04%		0.00%	0.00%	4	30.77%	0.40%
SISKIYOU	20	37.74%	0.09%	16	30.19%	0.15%	9	16.98%	0.19%	1	1.89%	0.10%
SOLANO	199	41.89%	0.85%	66	13.89%	0.61%	47	9.89%	1.01%	8	1.68%	0.81%
SONOMA	406	45.77%	1.73%	272	30.67%	2.50%	63	7.10%	1.36%	18	2.03%	1.82%
STANISLAUS	229	50.33%	0.97%	35	7.69%	0.32%	34	7.47%	0.73%	9	1.98%	0.91%
SUTTER	85	37.28%	0.36%	72	31.58%	0.66%	27	11.84%	0.58%	3	1.32%	0.30%
TEHAMA	28	33.33%	0.12%	21	25.00%	0.19%	6	7.14%	0.13%	9	10.71%	0.91%
TRINITY	12	42.86%	0.05%	7	25.00%	0.06%	4	14.29%	0.09%	4	14.29%	0.40%
TULARE	348	41.88%	1.48%	236	28.40%	2.17%	34	4.09%	0.73%	29	3.49%	2.93%
TUOLUMNE	25	27.78%	0.11%	37	41.11%	0.34%	12	13.33%	0.26%	2	2.22%	0.20%
UNKNOWN	133	36.74%	0.57%	63	17.40%	0.58%	25	6.91%	0.54%	2	0.55%	0.20%

County	Assault			Child Abuse			Sexual Assault			DWI/DUI		
	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County
VENTURA	275	45.01%	1.17%	93	15.22%	0.85%	53	8.67%	1.14%	29	4.75%	2.93%
YOLO	131	30.82%	0.56%	107	25.18%	0.98%	116	27.29%	2.50%	4	0.94%	0.40%
YUBA	198	54.10%	0.84%	100	27.32%	0.92%	34	9.29%	0.73%	1	0.27%	0.10%
GRAND TOTAL	23,492	44.42%	100.00%	10,881	20.57%	100.00%	4,636	8.77%	100.00%	989	1.87%	100.00%

County	Other Vehicular			Robbery			Homicide			Total Claimants by Crime County for all Crime Categories
	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	
ALAMEDA	107	3.14%	10.58%	310	9.11%	11.79%	491	14.43%	9.14%	3,403
ALPINE		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	5
AMADOR		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	2	3.77%	0.04%	53
BUTTE	1	0.15%	0.10%	19	2.93%	0.72%	34	5.24%	0.63%	649
CALAVERAS		0.00%	0.00%	4	5.26%	0.15%	2	2.63%	0.04%	76
COLUSA		0.00%	0.00%	1	3.33%	0.04%		0.00%	0.00%	30
CONTRA COSTA	17	1.52%	1.68%	81	7.22%	3.08%	164	14.62%	3.05%	1,122
DEL NORTE		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	9	12.00%	0.17%	75
EL DORADO	7	2.67%	0.69%	9	3.44%	0.34%	7	2.67%	0.13%	262
FRESNO	12	1.15%	1.19%	50	4.81%	1.90%	147	14.13%	2.74%	1,040
GLENN		0.00%	0.00%	6	2.91%	0.23%		0.00%	0.00%	206
HUMBOLDT	2	0.63%	0.20%	5	1.59%	0.19%	26	8.25%	0.48%	315
IMPERIAL	15	12.50%	1.48%	3	2.50%	0.11%	24	20.00%	0.45%	120
INYO		0.00%	0.00%	1	2.44%	0.04%		0.00%	0.00%	41
KERN	21	2.01%	2.08%	43	4.12%	1.63%	156	14.94%	2.90%	1,044
KINGS	4	1.16%	0.40%	6	1.74%	0.23%	20	5.81%	0.37%	344
LAKE	5	2.16%	0.49%	2	0.86%	0.08%	18	7.76%	0.34%	232
LASSEN		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	1	2.86%	0.02%	35
LOS ANGELES	307	2.43%	30.37%	711	5.62%	27.03%	1,530	12.09%	28.48%	12,655
MADERA	14	3.05%	1.38%	12	2.61%	0.46%	44	9.59%	0.82%	459

County	Other Vehicular			Robbery			Homicide			Total Claimants by Crime Category for all Crime Categories
	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	
MARIN		0.00%	0.00%	11	3.75%	0.42%	15	5.12%	0.28%	293
MARIPOSA		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	3	27.27%	0.06%	11
MENDOCINO	3	2.68%	0.30%	4	3.57%	0.15%	2	1.79%	0.04%	112
MERCED	4	0.60%	0.40%	30	4.48%	1.14%	115	17.19%	2.14%	669
MODOC	1	5.56%	0.10%		0.00%	0.00%	1	5.56%	0.02%	18
MONO		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	7
MONTEREY	16	2.24%	1.58%	15	2.10%	0.57%	159	22.30%	2.96%	713
NAPA	3	0.95%	0.30%	9	2.84%	0.34%	6	1.89%	0.11%	317
NEVADA		0.00%	0.00%	3	4.00%	0.11%	7	9.33%	0.13%	75
ORANGE	39	1.71%	3.86%	80	3.50%	3.04%	161	7.04%	3.00%	2,287
PLACER	3	0.49%	0.30%	8	1.31%	0.30%	23	3.76%	0.43%	612
PLUMAS	1	9.09%	0.10%		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	11
RIVERSIDE	33	1.59%	3.26%	59	2.85%	2.24%	262	12.66%	4.88%	2,070
SACRAMENTO	44	2.39%	4.35%	133	7.24%	5.06%	274	14.91%	5.10%	1,838
SAN BENITO		0.00%	0.00%	1	1.01%	0.04%	3	3.03%	0.06%	99
SAN BERNARDINO	58	1.89%	5.74%	101	3.28%	3.84%	337	10.96%	6.27%	3,076
SAN DIEGO	41	1.44%	4.06%	104	3.66%	3.95%	156	5.48%	2.90%	2,845
SAN FRANCISCO	48	2.70%	4.75%	248	13.92%	9.43%	214	12.02%	3.98%	1,781
SAN JOAQUIN	38	1.76%	3.76%	122	5.65%	4.64%	219	10.14%	4.08%	2,160

County	Other Vehicular			Robbery			Homicide			Total Claimants by Crime County for all Crime Categories
	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	0.33%	0.20%	6	0.98%	0.23%	44	7.21%	0.82%	610
SAN MATEO	15	1.88%	1.48%	77	9.63%	2.93%	48	6.00%	0.89%	800
SANTA BARBARA	10	1.05%	0.99%	28	2.94%	1.06%	38	3.99%	0.71%	953
SANTA CLARA	45	1.58%	4.45%	75	2.63%	2.85%	98	3.43%	1.82%	2,854
SANTA CRUZ	16	2.63%	1.58%	28	4.61%	1.06%	54	8.88%	1.01%	608
SHASTA	4	0.40%	0.40%	20	2.01%	0.76%	19	1.91%	0.35%	993
SIERRA		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	13
SISKIYOU		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	1	1.89%	0.02%	53
SOLANO	9	1.89%	0.89%	26	5.47%	0.99%	93	19.58%	1.73%	475
SONOMA	3	0.34%	0.30%	34	3.83%	1.29%	16	1.80%	0.30%	887
STANISLAUS	19	4.18%	1.88%	39	8.57%	1.48%	57	12.53%	1.06%	455
SUTTER	1	0.44%	0.10%	3	1.32%	0.11%	18	7.89%	0.34%	228
TEHAMA		0.00%	0.00%	3	3.57%	0.11%	2	2.38%	0.04%	84
TRINITY		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	28
TULARE	12	1.44%	1.19%	28	3.37%	1.06%	97	11.67%	1.81%	831
TUOLUMNE		0.00%	0.00%	4	4.44%	0.15%	3	3.33%	0.06%	90
UNKNOWN	5	1.38%	0.49%	14	3.87%	0.53%	67	18.51%	1.25%	362
VENTURA	15	2.45%	1.48%	38	6.22%	1.44%	59	9.66%	1.10%	611
YOLO	9	2.12%	0.89%	13	3.06%	0.49%	22	5.18%	0.41%	425

County	Other Vehicular			Robbery			Homicide			Total Claimants by Crime County for all Crime Categories
	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	Total Claimants	Percent of County's Claimants in This Crime Category	Percent of Statewide Crime Claimants in This County	
YUBA	2	0.55%	0.20%	3	0.82%	0.11%	5	1.37%	0.09%	366
GRAND TOTAL	1,011	1.91%	100.00%	2,630	4.97%	100.00%	5,373	10.16%	100.00%	52,886

Figure N: Comparison of CalCVP claimant rate to crime rate per 100,000 population (3 pages)

Crime County	Total		Homicide		Forcible Rape		Robbery		Assault	
	Claimant Rate	Crime Rate								
ALAMEDA	132.5	693.1	8.1	8.7	10.9	34.7	19.3	322.5	90.1	327.2
ALPINE	255.3	851.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	255.3	0.0	0.0	255.3	595.7
AMADOR	76.1	288.8	2.6	2.6	5.3	31.5	0.0	26.3	68.3	228.4
BUTTE	146.4	346.4	3.2	3.2	15.5	41.8	8.2	71.4	115.0	230.0
CALAVERAS	68.0	204.0	0.0	2.2	8.8	24.1	8.8	30.7	48.3	147.0
COLUSA	98.0	224.1	0.0	0.0	18.7	23.3	4.7	37.4	74.7	163.4
CONTRA COSTA	58.5	412.4	5.6	6.2	4.7	18.0	6.9	149.3	39.3	238.9
DEL NORTE	115.3	388.0	7.0	10.5	24.5	94.4	0.0	48.9	73.4	234.2
EL DORADO	82.8	267.9	1.1	1.7	7.2	18.8	4.4	36.5	69.6	211.0
FRESNO	67.0	534.8	5.6	6.8	4.1	19.5	4.8	147.0	49.8	361.5
GLENN	277.4	259.6	0.0	3.6	17.8	17.8	10.7	53.3	248.9	184.9
HUMBOLDT	134.4	392.9	4.5	4.5	13.4	46.1	3.7	81.7	110.7	260.7
IMPERIAL	35.5	354.1	1.7	1.7	1.1	14.9	1.7	60.2	28.1	277.3
INYO	129.4	372.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	21.6	5.4	21.6	118.6	328.9
KERN	65.3	581.1	5.2	8.8	6.4	19.5	3.9	145.4	46.2	407.3
KINGS	95.4	348.4	4.6	2.0	26.1	19.0	2.6	55.6	57.5	271.9
LAKE	201.0	451.6	4.6	6.2	17.0	44.8	3.1	65.0	168.6	335.6
LASSEN	51.6	338.2	0.0	2.9	14.3	22.9	0.0	34.4	37.3	278.0
LOS ANGELES	74.3	511.5	5.4	6.3	6.2	21.1	6.5	223.0	54.4	261.2
MADERA	160.4	433.5	8.6	6.6	37.1	32.5	5.3	85.5	106.7	308.9
MARIN	77.7	206.0	2.0	1.6	6.3	18.6	4.4	60.6	62.6	125.2
MARIPOSA	43.8	202.7	5.5	0.0	0.0	27.4	0.0	11.0	32.9	164.4
MENDOCINO	84.2	555.5	1.1	4.6	14.8	49.0	4.6	51.2	63.8	450.8
MERCED	124.3	566.1	8.6	10.2	21.9	28.1	9.0	105.2	80.5	422.6

Crime County	Total		Homicide		Forcible Rape		Robbery		Assault	
	Claimant Rate	Crime Rate								
MODOC	92.9	175.5	10.3	0.0	10.3	61.9	0.0	0.0	72.3	113.6
MONO	42.2	415.4	0.0	0.0	7.0	77.5	0.0	14.1	35.2	323.9
MONTEREY	89.4	490.8	9.6	10.6	15.9	19.8	2.7	138.3	57.1	322.1
NAPA	115.0	477.0	0.7	1.5	22.7	21.2	6.6	52.0	83.5	402.2
NEVADA	26.3	314.9	2.0	1.0	5.1	26.3	1.0	22.3	17.2	265.3
ORANGE	40.4	229.5	1.3	2.2	4.4	14.9	2.2	85.5	31.8	126.8
PLACER	84.1	225.3	0.9	0.6	11.5	18.1	2.3	45.6	68.6	161.0
PLUMAS	20.0	544.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.0	0.0	25.0	20.0	454.8
RIVERSIDE	51.9	301.6	3.0	4.0	5.5	17.1	2.6	98.3	39.2	182.3
SACRAMENTO	79.9	602.7	4.3	5.8	15.2	27.4	8.7	218.5	47.9	351.0
SAN BENITO	133.9	385.4	3.6	1.8	7.2	23.5	1.8	63.3	121.2	296.7
SAN BERNARDINO	67.8	443.1	4.7	5.1	8.9	24.1	4.6	135.2	47.3	278.7
SAN DIEGO	54.8	376.0	1.7	2.2	4.9	21.8	3.3	108.2	44.4	243.8
SAN FRANCISCO	161.6	721.3	6.2	6.0	10.8	17.0	30.2	400.0	110.8	298.3
SAN JOAQUIN	181.2	806.1	7.6	9.2	16.2	23.8	16.8	269.8	137.3	503.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	121.6	265.9	2.2	2.2	11.5	30.4	2.2	34.5	103.5	198.8
SAN MATEO	63.7	249.8	1.9	2.8	4.3	17.8	8.5	82.7	48.6	146.6
SANTA BARBARA	114.2	434.3	1.9	2.6	13.0	30.9	5.0	73.8	92.9	327.0
SANTA CLARA	86.2	262.5	1.5	1.4	5.6	21.8	3.4	81.2	74.7	158.2
SANTA CRUZ	123.5	500.4	4.2	5.3	19.4	25.9	8.0	102.5	88.8	366.6
SHASTA	262.9	822.1	1.7	1.7	14.7	67.7	6.8	77.9	236.4	674.9
SIERRA	154.3	586.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	154.3	586.4
SISKIYOU	60.1	307.3	2.2	2.2	17.8	22.3	0.0	17.8	40.1	265.0

Crime County	Total		Homicide		Forcible Rape		Robbery		Assault	
	Claimant Rate	Crime Rate	Claimant Rate	Crime Rate	Claimant Rate	Crime Rate	Claimant Rate	Crime Rate	Claimant Rate	Crime Rate
SOLANO	65.8	455.1	6.5	8.0	6.3	33.9	6.0	192.6	43.1	220.6
SONOMA	90.3	387.7	0.6	1.2	7.2	26.0	6.4	57.7	75.0	302.8
STANISLAUS	60.5	519.4	3.1	5.6	3.7	26.2	7.4	149.1	43.5	338.4
SUTTER	119.3	378.9	3.2	5.3	20.1	31.7	3.2	66.5	88.7	275.5
TEHAMA	53.6	581.4	1.6	1.6	4.7	34.7	4.7	48.8	42.5	496.4
TRINITY	87.0	246.6	0.0	0.0	21.8	29.0	0.0	21.8	65.3	195.9
TULARE	94.5	469.3	5.9	7.9	5.9	22.6	5.9	100.4	74.0	338.3
TUOLUMNE	66.8	218.5	1.8	1.8	14.4	41.5	5.4	37.9	45.2	137.3
VENTURA	44.6	212.3	1.8	2.2	4.9	12.8	4.4	76.3	31.9	121.1
YOLO	107.0	254.9	2.0	1.5	33.4	38.8	6.5	70.2	60.7	144.4
YUBA	274.4	346.5	2.8	2.8	29.1	33.3	4.2	62.4	238.4	248.1
Grand Total	78.5	440.1	4.1	4.9	7.8	22.3	6.4	156.0	58.2	256.9

Claimant rate and crime rate per 100,000 was determined using 2010 census population figures.

Penal Codes utilized for determining reported crime and the penal code CalVCP eligibility determination was based on penal codes listed for crime categories in Appendix 3 of the Crime in California 2010 report by Kamala D. Harris, California Attorney General²⁵

County crime data was obtained from the document attached to a September 9, 2011 press release from Kamala D. Harris that provided reported crime data by county for 2010.²⁶

²⁵ <http://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/cjsc/publications/candd/cd10/preface.pdf>

²⁶ <http://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/california-department-justice-releases-2010-crime-california-report>

Figure P: Data by county, representation, insurance coverage and other variables (3 pages)

Crime County	2010 Census Population	Reported Violent Crimes in 2010	Number of Victim/Witness Assistance Center (VWAC) Advocates	Estimated Caseload per Advocate	Number of VWAC Advocate Represented Claimants	Total Derivative Victim Applications	Total Direct Victim Applications
ALAMEDA	1,510,271	10468	15	698	457	723	2,680
ALPINE	1,175	10	2	5	5	0	5
AMADOR	38,091	110	2	55	52	11	42
BUTTE	220,000	762	3.7	206	618	88	561
CALAVERAS	45,578	93	1.5	62	50	14	62
COLUSA	21,419	48	2	24	28	4	26
CONTRA COSTA	1,049,025	4326	7	618	661	309	813
DEL NORTE	28,610	111	2	56	70	19	56
EL DORADO	181,058	485	2.9	167	253	49	213
FRESNO	930,450	4976	10	498	911	241	799
GLENN	28,122	73	1.3	56	205	53	153
HUMBOLDT	134,623	529	2.6	203	292	64	251
IMPERIAL	174,528	618	3.5	177	105	38	82
INYO	18,546	69	2	35	38	8	33
KERN	839,631	4879	5	976	885	307	737
KINGS	152,982	533	3	178	336	96	248
LAKE	64,665	292	5.5	53	188	39	193
LASSEN	34,895	118	3	39	24	8	27
LOS ANGELES	9,818,605	50223	44.5	1129	7,853	2894	9,761
MADERA	150,865	654	2.6	252	446	138	321
MARIN	252,409	520	5	104	257	41	252
MARIPOSA	18,251	37	1.3	28	10	1	10

Crime County	2010 Census Population	Reported Violent Crimes in 2010	Number of Victim/Witness Assistance Center (VWAC) Advocates	Estimated Caseload per Advocate	Number of VWAC Advocate Represented Claimants	Total Derivative Victim Applications	Total Direct Victim Applications
MENDOCINO	87,841	488	3.5	139	86	16	96
MERCED	255,793	1448	2	724	621	200	469
MODOC	9,686	17	1	17	14	0	18
MONO	14,202	59	2	30	6	0	7
MONTEREY	415,057	2037	5.5	370	655	229	484
NAPA	136,484	651	2.5	260	296	92	225
NEVADA	98,764	311	2.2	141	59	28	47
ORANGE	3,010,232	6907	28.3	244	1,555	634	1653
PLACER	348,432	785	4.8	164	565	174	438
PLUMAS	20,007	109	1.5	73	11	2	9
RIVERSIDE	2,189,641	6605	11.8	560	1,409	469	1,601
SACRAMENTO	1,418,788	8551	7.4	1156	1,312	400	1,438
SAN BENITO	55,269	213	1.5	142	85	11	88
SAN BERNARDINO	2,03,5210	9017	16.5	546	2,794	937	2,139
SAN DIEGO	3,095,313	11639	16	727	1,219	414	2,431
SAN FRANCISCO	805,235	5808	17	342	1,512	230	1,551
SAN JOAQUIN	685,306	5524	5.4	1023	1,898	509	1,651
SAN LUIS OBISPO	269,637	717	9.5	75	600	139	471
SAN MATEO	718,451	1795	6	299	709	190	610
SANTA BARBARA	423,895	1841	8.3	222	909	252	701
SANTA CLARA	1,781,642	4677	11.4	410	2,644	608	2,246
SANTA CRUZ	262,382	1313	2.2	597	579	179	429
SHASTA	177,223	1457	2.3	633	969	256	737

Crime County	2010 Census Population	Reported Violent Crimes in 2010	Number of Victim/Witness Assistance Center (VWAC) Advocates	Estimated Caseload per Advocate	Number of VWAC Advocate Represented Claimants	Total Derivative Victim Applications	Total Direct Victim Applications
SIERRA	3,240	19	1	19	13	5	8
SISKIYOU	44,900	138	1.8	77	50	10	43
SOLANO	413,344	1881	6	314	337	128	347
SONOMA	483,878	1876	8	235	853	177	710
STANISLAUS	514,453	2672	6	445	363	61	394
SUTTER	94,737	359	2	180	206	51	177
TEHAMA	63,463	369	1.6	231	78	16	68
TRINITY	13,786	34	1	34	27	5	23
TULARE	442,179	2075	6.5	319	783	220	611
TUOLUMNE	55,365	121	3	40	84	16	74
VENTURA	823,318	1748	6.5	269	181	120	491
YOLO	200,849	512	5	102	325	104	321
YUBA	72,155	250	5	50	350	81	285
GRAND TOTAL	35,190,165	163,957	349	469	37,901	12,108	40,416

Crime County ²⁷	% of insured claimants	% of insured in County Population ²⁸	% of Allowed Applications With No Compensation Paid	# of claimants who received compensation	Average Total Payment for those who received payment
ALAMEDA	50%	12.9%	45%	1020	\$3,604
ALPINE	60%	NA	60%	1	\$500
AMADOR	34%	12.5%	45%	15	\$686
BUTTE	41%	17.1%	47%	272	\$2,472
CALAVERAS	54%	11.1%	41%	31	\$860
COLUSA	30%	22.2%	40%	13	\$1,088
CONTRA COSTA	47%	12.2%	36%	442	\$2,051
DEL NORTE	69%	14.3%	41%	28	\$2,000
EL DORADO	31%	10.7%	59%	82	\$2,495
FRESNO	41%	20.4%	50%	335	\$3,036
GLENN	45%	20.2%	69%	41	\$313
HUMBOLDT	60%	19.4%	46%	116	\$3,035
IMPERIAL	33%	20.8%	63%	33	\$2,224
INYO	71%	NA	61%	6	\$322
KERN	48%	20.7%	52%	258	\$2,577
KINGS	15%	18.8%	63%	94	\$727
LAKE	68%	19.3%	63%	66	\$1,052
LASSEN	60%	10.4%	46%	12	\$1,680
LOS ANGELES	32%	22.6%	34%	6596	\$3,724
MADERA	48%	21.2%	50%	135	\$1,050
MARIN	41%	8.9%	38%	125	\$1,349

²⁷ Direct and Derivative Victims with unknown crime counties were not included in totals.

²⁸ This is the average for both grant-funded and non-grant funded positions for 2010 Data provided by the California Office of Emergency Services.

Crime County ²⁷	% of insured claimants	% of insured in County Population ²⁸	% of Allowed Applications With No Compensation Paid	# of claimants who received compensation	Average Total Payment for those who received payment
MARIPOSA	73%	NA	27%	8	\$7,659
MENDOCINO	62%	17.4%	65%	24	\$627
MERCED	54%	19.3%	56%	169	\$889
MODOC	56%	NA	28%	5	\$1,173
MONO	57%	NA	43%	1	\$40
MONTEREY	19%	21.5%	61%	213	\$1,521
NAPA	48%	15.7%	44%	106	\$2,770
NEVADA	31%	15.5%	24%	27	\$3,095
ORANGE	22%	17.5%	39%	1030	\$2,998
PLACER	29%	10.5%	47%	216	\$2,585
PLUMAS	55%	16.7%	36%	4	\$9,143
RIVERSIDE	28%	20.5%	47%	726	\$4,322
SACRAMENTO	51%	14.6%	45%	673	\$3,832
SAN BENITO	18%	15.8%	67%	27	\$694
SAN BERNARDINO	30%	21.0%	50%	956	\$3,463
SAN DIEGO	49%	17.3%	33%	1303	\$2,806
SAN FRANCISCO	51%	11.2%	49%	557	\$3,532
SAN JOAQUIN	48%	17.5%	49%	761	\$3,915
SAN LUIS OBISPO	58%	14.9%	51%	264	\$2,344
SAN MATEO	49%	11.3%	50%	244	\$1,728
SANTA BARBARA	23%	18.8%	54%	351	\$2,433
SANTA CLARA	64%	11.8%	49%	850	\$3,246
SANTA CRUZ	37%	14.4%	52%	230	\$3,525
SHASTA	68%	15.7%	51%	208	\$2,086

Crime County ²⁷	% of insured claimants	% of insured in County Population ²⁸	% of Allowed Applications With No Compensation Paid	# of claimants who received compensation	Average Total Payment for those who received payment
SIERRA	0%	NA	85%	2	\$281
SISKIYOU	60%	14.8%	45%	10	\$886
SOLANO	55%	12.7%	39%	188	\$1,614
SONOMA	69%	14.4%	56%	187	\$2,335
STANISLAUS	38%	17.7%	42%	160	\$1,365
SUTTER	54%	18.8%	45%	77	\$1,221
TEHAMA	65%	17.0%	44%	30	\$680
TRINITY	68%	NA	64%	6	\$414
TULARE	44%	22.2%	59%	249	\$3,918
TUOLUMNE	57%	14.0%	62%	24	\$387
VENTURA	44%	16.3%	42%	160	\$4,830
YOLO	60%	12.5%	63%	97	\$1,024
YUBA	48%	16.7%	52%	115	\$617

Figure Q: Total claimants most dependent on CalVCP compensation services (4 pages)

	Victims Who Were Disabled Before Crime ²⁹	Minor Survivors ³⁰	Direct and Derivative Senior Victims (Age 65+)	Total VCP Special Populations (Minors, Seniors, Disabled)	Total Number of Direct and Derivative Claimants	Special Populations as % of Total Direct and Derivative Claimants
ALAMEDA	169	98	50	317	3403	9.30%
ALPINE	0	0	0	0	5	0.00%
AMADOR	1	0	3	4	53	7.50%
BUTTE	26	3	8	37	649	5.70%
CALAVERAS	3	1	0	4	76	5.30%
COLUSA	1	0	0	1	30	3.30%
CONTRA COSTA	67	24	28	119	1122	10.60%
DEL NORTE	3	0	2	5	75	6.70%
EL DORADO	3	0	9	12	262	4.60%
FRESNO	30	25	12	67	1040	6.40%
GLENN	1	0	2	3	206	1.50%
HUMBOLDT	17	5	5	27	315	8.60%
IMPERIAL	8	7	4	19	120	15.80%
INYO	1	0	1	2	41	4.90%
KERN	28	22	23	73	1044	7.00%
KINGS	5	2	0	7	344	2.00%

²⁹ “Disabled Before Crime” data is unavailable for derivative victims. Numbers in column include direct victims only.

³⁰ “Minor Survivors” is a Cal VCP definition used only with derivative victims.

	Victims Who Were Disabled Before Crime ²⁹	Minor Survivors ³⁰	Direct and Derivative Senior Victims (Age 65+)	Total VCP Special Populations (Minors, Seniors, Disabled)	Total Number of Direct and Derivative Claimants	Special Populations as % of Total Direct and Derivative Claimants
LAKE	11	0	12	23	232	9.90%
LASSEN	1	0	0	1	35	2.90%
LOS ANGELES	402	286	199	887	12655	7.00%
MADERA	8	6	3	17	459	3.70%
MARIN	4	2	5	11	293	3.80%
MARIPOSA	0	0	0	0	11	0.00%
MENDOCINO	6	0	4	10	112	8.90%
MERCED	9	29	14	52	669	7.80%
MODOC	0	0	1	1	18	5.60%
MONO	0	0	0	0	7	0.00%
MONTEREY	24	28	6	58	713	8.10%
NAPA	9	1	8	18	317	5.70%
NEVADA	1	2	1	4	75	5.30%
Null/Not Yet Determined	0	0	0	0	2	0.00%
ORANGE	52	29	28	109	2287	4.80%
PLACER	5	4	15	24	612	3.90%
PLUMAS	2	0	0	2	11	18.20%
RIVERSIDE	87	53	39	179	2070	8.60%
SACRAMENTO	102	45	38	185	1838	10.10%
SAN BENITO	3	0	1	4	99	4.00%
SAN BERNARDINO	63	65	43	171	3076	5.60%

	Victims Who Were Disabled Before Crime ²⁹	Minor Survivors ³⁰	Direct and Derivative Senior Victims (Age 65+)	Total VCP Special Populations (Minors, Seniors, Disabled)	Total Number of Direct and Derivative Claimants	Special Populations as % of Total Direct and Derivative Claimants
SAN DIEGO	76	19	44	139	2845	4.90%
SAN FRANCISCO	79	35	56	170	1781	9.50%
SAN JOAQUIN	31	59	30	120	2160	5.60%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	6	13	22	610	3.60%
SAN MATEO	15	9	8	32	800	4.00%
SANTA BARBARA	23	12	18	53	953	5.60%
SANTA CLARA	50	19	16	85	2854	3.00%
SANTA CRUZ	11	9	10	30	608	4.90%
SHASTA	65	1	17	83	993	8.40%
SIERRA	0	0	0	0	13	0.00%
SISKIYOU	4	0	0	4	53	7.50%
SOLANO	11	12	7	30	475	6.30%
SONOMA	14	3	9	26	887	2.90%
STANISLAUS	21	5	15	41	455	9.00%
SUTTER	7	2	1	10	228	4.40%
TEHAMA	2	0	0	2	84	2.40%
TRINITY	3	0	0	3	28	10.70%
TULARE	21	18	11	50	831	6.00%
TUOLUMNE	5	0	4	9	90	10.00%
UNKNOWN	7	9	9	25	360	6.90%
VENTURA	14	16	16	46	611	7.50%

	Victims Who Were Disabled Before Crime ²⁹	Minor Survivors ³⁰	Direct and Derivative Senior Victims (Age 65+)	Total VCP Special Populations (Minors, Seniors, Disabled)	Total Number of Direct and Derivative Claimants	Special Populations as % of Total Direct and Derivative Claimants
YOLO	8	2	6	16	425	3.80%
YUBA	2	1	3	6	366	1.60%
Total	1,624	974	857	3455	52,886	6.50%

Figure R: Compensation Paid and Claims Received by County (3 pages)

Crime County	Claimants			Compensation Paid		
	Derivative	Direct	Grand Total	Derivative	Direct	Grand Total
ALAMEDA	723	2,680	3,403	\$408,021	\$3,267,935	\$3,675,956
ALPINE	0	5	5	\$-	\$2,000	\$2,000
AMADOR	11	42	53	\$5,147	\$21,590	\$26,737
BUTTE	88	561	649	\$25,825	\$646,429	\$672,255
CALAVERAS	14	62	76	\$8,449	\$44,855	\$53,304
COLUSA	4	26	30	\$-	\$27,212	\$27,212
CONTRA COSTA	309	813	1,122	\$138,861	\$1,624,537	\$1,763,398
DEL NORTE	19	56	75	\$7,061	\$48,929	\$55,989
EL DORADO	49	213	262	\$12,182	\$192,382	\$204,563
FRESNO	241	799	1,040	\$54,570	\$962,344	\$1,016,914
GLENN	53	153	206	\$342	\$57,159	\$57,501
HUMBOLDT	64	251	315	\$21,126	\$330,902	\$352,028
IMPERIAL	38	82	120	\$479	\$239,688	\$240,167
INYO	8	33	41	\$-	\$9,974	\$9,974
KERN	307	737	1,044	\$88,149	\$576,719	\$664,868
KINGS	96	248	344	\$12,102	\$213,313	\$225,415
LAKE	39	193	232	\$39,064	\$182,914	\$221,978
LASSEN	8	27	35	\$1,975	\$18,181	\$20,156
LOS ANGELES	2,894	9,761	12,655	\$2,658,641	\$21,907,861	\$24,566,502
MADERA	138	321	459	\$55,255	\$326,944	\$382,199
MARIN	41	252	293	\$40,937	\$277,370	\$318,308
MARIPOSA	1	10	11	\$70,000	\$14,249	\$84,249
MENDOCINO	16	96	112	\$1,089	\$59,682	\$60,771
MERCED	200	469	669	\$39,420	\$446,125	\$485,545
MODOC	0	18	18	\$-	\$11,732	\$11,732

Crime County	Claimants			Compensation Paid		
	Derivative	Direct	Grand Total	Derivative	Direct	Grand Total
MONO	0	7	7	\$-	\$160	\$160
MONTEREY	229	484	713	\$43,726	\$940,267	\$983,992
NAPA	92	225	317	\$56,742	\$236,846	\$293,587
NEVADA	28	47	75	\$18,726	\$120,545	\$139,271
ORANGE	634	1,653	2,287	\$390,884	\$2,698,757	\$3,089,641
PLACER	174	438	612	\$132,075	\$426,336	\$558,411
PLUMAS	2	9	11	\$-	\$73,144	\$73,144
RIVERSIDE	469	1,601	2,070	\$564,486	\$2,573,580	\$3,138,065
SACRAMENTO	400	1,438	1,838	\$130,678	\$2,448,896	\$2,579,574
SAN BENITO	11	88	99	\$540	\$64,008	\$64,548
SAN BERNARDINO	937	2,139	3,076	\$270,134	\$3,040,398	\$3,310,532
SAN DIEGO	414	2,431	2,845	\$306,585	\$3,349,695	\$3,656,280
SAN FRANCISCO	230	1,551	1,781	\$27,306	\$1,940,034	\$1,967,340
SAN JOAQUIN	509	1,651	2,160	\$223,381	\$2,755,588	\$2,978,969
SAN LUISOBISPO	139	471	610	\$45,370	\$573,316	\$618,686
SAN MATEO	190	610	800	\$199,085	\$918,894	\$1,117,979
SANTA BARBARA	252	701	953	\$83,750	\$770,260	\$854,010
SANTA CLARA	608	2,246	2,854	\$207,686	\$2,551,762	\$2,759,448
SANTA CRUZ	179	429	608	\$76,470	\$734,336	\$810,807
SHASTA	256	737	993	\$52,853	\$380,973	\$433,826
SIERRA	5	8	13	\$-	\$3,650	\$3,650
SISKIYOU	10	43	53	\$2,502	\$27,634	\$30,136
SOLANO	128	347	475	\$71,146	\$534,067	\$605,213
SONOMA	177	710	887	\$49,194	\$387,479	\$436,673
STANISLAUS	61	394	455	\$16,400	\$465,586	\$481,986
SUTTER	51	177	228	\$86,584	\$133,110	\$219,694
TEHAMA	16	68	84	\$6,849	\$38,705	\$45,554

Crime County	Claimants			Compensation Paid		
	Derivative	Direct	Grand Total	Derivative	Direct	Grand Total
TRINITY	5	23	28	\$-	\$9,927	\$9,927
TULARE	220	611	831	\$38,687	\$936,962	\$975,649
TUOLUMNE	16	74	90	\$1,150	\$29,833	\$30,983
UNKNOWN	89	273	362	\$45,107	\$317,729	\$362,836
VENTURA	120	491	611	\$26,409	\$746,370	\$772,778
YOLO	104	321	425	\$6,644	\$367,196	\$373,840
YUBA	81	285	366	\$15,466	\$174,087	\$189,553
Grand Total	12,197	40,689	52,886	\$6,885,308	\$62,281,153	\$69,166,461